

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

AMAZON DIGITAL SERVICES,  
LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

GREEN PUBLISHING, LTD.,  
PROJECT OLYMPUS, LTD., and  
JAKE DRYAN,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C18-475 RAJ  
ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff Amazon Digital Services, LLC's ("Amazon") petition to confirm arbitration award. Dkt. # 1. Defendants, Green Publishing, Ltd.; Project Olympus, Ltd.; and Jake Dryan, did not file a Response to the

1 petition. For the reasons set forth below, the Court **GRANTS** Plaintiff's petition.

2 Dkt. # 1.

### 3 **I. BACKGROUND**

4 On September 6, 2017, Amazon filed a demand for arbitration (the "Demand")  
5 with the American Arbitration Association. Dkt. # 1 at ¶ 8. The Demand alleged that  
6 Defendants manipulated and abused the Kindle Direct Publishing ("KDP") service for  
7 financial gain and to the detriment of other KDP authors. The Demand further alleged  
8 that Defendants created duplicate content in violation of Amazon's rules and policies and  
9 used bots and "clickfarms" to inflate their page reads. *Id.* at ¶ 10. Amazon asserted  
10 claims against Defendants for breach of the Amazon Conditions of Use, breach of the  
11 Kindle Direct Publishing Terms and Conditions, intentional interference with contractual  
12 relations, and violation of the Washington Consumer Protection Act. *Id.* at ¶ 11. The  
13 parties then settled the claims asserted by Amazon and stipulated to an award. *Id.* at ¶¶  
14 12, 13. On January 11, 2018, Arbitrator Katherine Hendricks signed and issued the  
15 award. *Id.* at ¶ 14. Plaintiff now moves the Court to confirm this award. Dkt. # 1.

### 16 **II. DISCUSSION**

17 The Federal Arbitration Act ("FAA"), 9 U.S.C. § 9, states that "any time within  
18 one year after the award is made any party to the arbitration may apply to the court . . .  
19 for an order confirming the award." If an award is not vacated, modified, or corrected  
20 under § 10 or § 11, then the court must grant a motion confirming the arbitration award.  
21 9 U.S.C. § 9. "[C]ourts may vacate an arbitrator's decision 'only in very unusual  
22 circumstances.'" *Oxford Health Plans LLC v. Sutter*, 569 U.S. 564 (2013) (citations  
23 omitted). "It is only when [an] arbitrator strays from interpretation and application of the  
24 agreement and effectively 'dispense[s] his own brand of industrial justice' that his  
25 decision may be unenforceable." *Stolt-Nielsen S.A. v. AnimalFeeds Int'l Corp.*, 559 U.S.  
26 662, 671 (2010).

1 Defendants make no arguments that the arbitrator exceeded her powers in this case  
2 or that she strayed from interpretation and application of the parties' agreement. Amazon  
3 represents to the court that the award has not been vacated under 9 U.S.C. § 10 or § 11.  
4 Amazon brought this action on April 3, 2018, or well within one year after the award was  
5 issued. Dkt. # 1 at ¶ 14.

6 Having considered the petition, the submitted declaration and exhibits, and the  
7 relevant law the Court makes the following findings:

- 8 1. The arbitration award ("Award"), attached as Exhibit C to the Declaration of  
9 Xiang Li, was rendered against Respondents Green Publishing, Ltd.; Project  
10 Olympus, Ltd.; and Jake Dryan by the American Arbitration Association  
11 through the appointed arbitrator Katherine Hendricks, Esq. on January 11,  
12 2018, Case No. 01-17-0005-3168.
- 13 2. The Award has not been modified, corrected, or vacated.
- 14 3. Respondents were served with the statutorily required notice of the Petition in  
15 accordance with 9 U.S.C. § 9.

16 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:**

17 The Court enters judgment in favor of Amazon and against Defendants in  
18 accordance with the Award, as follows:

- 19 1. The Award is confirmed in its entirety;
- 20 2. Respondents, along with each of their directors, principals, officers,  
21 employees, representatives, successors, agents, and assigns are enjoined from:
  - 22 a. Engaging, encouraging, or assisting in any practice involving the  
23 creation or publication of duplicate content in violation of Amazon's  
24 rules and policies;
  - 25 b. Engaging, encouraging, or assisting in any practice involving the use of  
26 a bot, clickfarm, or other tools to artificially increase page views in  
27 violation of Amazon's rules and policies; and

1 c. Engaging, encouraging, or assisting in any practice that violates the  
2 Amazon Conditions of Use or Kindle Direct Publishing Terms and  
3 Conditions.  
4

5 For the foregoing reasons, the Court **GRANTS** Plaintiff's petition. Dkt. # 1.  
6

7 Dated this 27th day of December, 2018.  
8

9   
10

11 The Honorable Richard A. Jones  
12 United States District Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27