

added). Petitioners did not file a legal brief pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7.1(d)(1). Rather, Petitioners filed a statement that no brief is necessary pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7.1(d)(4).


(ECF No. 2-3 at 1.) Petitioners' statement provides:

Petitioners have not filed a brief in support of the Petition to Confirm Arbitration Award and Entry of Judgment because there does not appear to be any legal or factual issue in dispute, and it does not appear that there is any question that the arbitration award at issue in this case may be confirmed and judgment entered.

(*Id.*) In light of the obvious error in the arbitration award, the Court finds that Petitioners must submit a legal brief in support of their Motion. Accordingly,

IT IS on this 1st day of November, 2017, **ORDERED** that:

1. Petitioners' Motion to Confirm Arbitration Award (ECF No. 2) is denied without prejudice.
2. By **November 20, 2017**, Petitioners may file a renewed Motion to Confirm Arbitration Award. The renewed Motion must be accompanied by an appropriate form of proposed order and by a legal brief pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7.1(d)(1).²



MICHAEL A. SHIPP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

² If Petitioners fail to file a renewed Motion to Confirm Arbitration Award by November 20, 2017, the Court will close the matter. Petitioners must then file a motion to reopen and provide good cause to reopen the matter.